

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,657	02/19/2002	Yoshiaki Yokoo	159-71	2579
23117	7590 03/01/2006		EXAM	INER
	•	I OOR	BECKER,	DREW E
	76,657 02/19/2002 Yoshiaki Yokoo 159-71 2579 7 7590 03/01/2006 EXAMINER XON & VANDERHYE, PC NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR LINGTON, VA 22203 ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/076,657	YOKOO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Drew E. Becker	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			ss
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05.	January 2006		
· /= · /	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers increscution as to the m	orite ie
closed in accordance with the practice under		• •	
ologod in addordance with the practice ander	Exparto Quayro, 1000 O.D	. 11, 400 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims	÷	in the	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-11 and 14</u> is/are pending in the	application.	, and the second second	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		٠,
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Extremula	Art Unit	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4-11, 14</u> is/are rejected.	, Oron M. Oddiar	1701	
7) Claim(s) /Live is/are objected to.	opeors of the cover should wi	di are con esp <mark>onceace addr</mark> e	£ £
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
- K <mark>shorman - Den Sambarah - De</mark>	· CHREAD	CATHOLOGY THETY (SOL	W YE
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	ing some of the bottom	٠,
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		•	
		Territy Section 25 States (2015)	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the tatus Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · ·	• •	1.121(d).
and the state of t	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The path or declaration is objected to by the Eriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ction is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ction is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached In priority under 35 U.S.C. §	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ction is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. §	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § into have been received.	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f).	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 2 Certified copies of the priority documer 2 Certified copies of the priority documer	ction is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § into have been received. In the have been received in All ority documents have been	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f).	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § into have been received. In the have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f). oplication No	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 2 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5. Certified copies of the priority documer 5. Ception application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § into have been received. In the have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f). oplication No	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a lise	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § ints have been received. In the have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Set of the certified copies not in the certified copies in the certified copies in the certified copies.	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f). oplication No	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 2 All Certified copies of the priority documer 3, Certified copies of the priority documer 3, Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer *See the attached detailed Office action for a lise Claim(s) are subject to restrict to the priority documer 8) Claim(s)	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § ints have been received. In the have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Set of the certified copies not in the certified copies in the certified copies in the certified copies.	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f). oplication No received in this National Sta	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 2 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer *See the attached detailed Office action for a lise Claim (s) Claim	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § ints have been received. In the have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Set of the certified copies not in the certified copies in the certified copies in the certified copies.	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f). oplication No received in this National Sta	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 4) Claim application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list * See the attached detailed Office action for a list * See The Alberta Claim (PTO-892)	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § into have been received. In the have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In the certified copies not a second	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f). oplication No received in this National State received.	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 4) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 4) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 5 Copies of the certified copies of the priority	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § into have been received. In the have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into the certified copies not set of the certified copies not set of the certified copies.	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f). oplication No received in this National State received.	152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer 4) Claim application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list * See the attached detailed Office action for a list * See The Alberta Claim (PTO-892)	ction is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached in priority under 35 U.S.C. § into have been received. In the have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into the certified copies not set of the certified copies not set of the certified copies.	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR Office Action or form PTO- 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National State received.	152.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,657

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. ___The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed January 5, 2006 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1, 4-11, and 14 based upon Chen et al as set forth in the last Office action because: the experiments conducted by Mr. Yokoo did not include the product of Chen et al. Specifically, there was not an example of mango juice produced via ultrafiltration as done by Chen et al. Furthermore, Chen et al states that the juice provided natural color and flavor (column 9, lines 29-41) and that the pore size of the filter be sufficiently large for soluble color components to go through (column 8, Actionist 170).

In view of the foregoing, when all of the evidence is considered, the totality of the rebuttal evidence of nonobviousness fails to outweigh the evidence of obviousness.

Overcome the reliection of Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

the juid(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public a use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Of the filter be sufficiently large for socials a color components to go through (column 8.

3. Claims 1 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (here 1). Chen et al [Pat. No. 5,756,141].

Chen et al teacha processed mango juice having substantially no pulp (column 7, lines rebuttai evidence of nonobviousness fribe and a substantially no pulp (column 7, lines 33-60; claim 3), mango puree (column 5, line 45; column 10, line 16), a beverage made

A Company of the second of the

Art Unit: 1761

from mango juice and water (column 5, line 26), inherently preventing sedimentation due to the lack of pulp, providing lowered viscosity and excellent flavor (column 4, lines 58-65), the use of 5-35% aloe vera (column 13, line 20), an alcoholic drink (column 11, line 67), the juice having a natural color and flavor (column 9, lines 29-41), and the juice inherently having a turbidity above 2000 NTU. Phrases such as "by centrifugal separation" are merely preferred methods of making the claimed product.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4.ppliceThe following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for alluge Art Unit: 1701 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

58-65), the use of 3-35% stoe very country made 20, an accomplic drink (column 11)

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et line 67), the juice having a natural celor and involve column of lines 29-41), and the juice al as applied above, in view of XP-002201947. Inherently having a sublicity above 2000 of U. masos such as by centriugal.

Chen et al teach the above mentioned components. Chen et al do not specifically recite fruit wine. XP-002201947 teaches a fruit wine made from mango juice (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the fruit wine of XP-002201947 into the invention of Chen et al since both are directed to mango juice beverages, since Chen et al already included alcoholic drinks (column 11, line 67), and since mango wine was commonly known, as shown by XP-002201947.

6. Claim 1.1 is rejected under 35.U.S.C.: 103(a) as being unpatentable over. Chen et invention was made to a person naving ordinary shall in the art to which said subject matter pertains al as applied above, in view of DE 20102826U1.

Chan et al topch the above mentamed unapproduct. Che

id as applice block, in their election

Application/Control Number: 10/076,657

Art Unit: 1761

as shown hy type of the

Chen et al teach the above mentioned components. Chen et al do not specifically recite liqueur. DE 20102826U1 teaches a liqueur made from mango juice (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the liqueur of DE 20102826U1 into the invention of Chen et al since both are directed to mango juice beverages, since Chen et al already included alcoholic drinks (column 11, line 67), and since mango liqueur was commonly known, as shown by DE 20102826U1.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al as applied above, in view of Wu et al [Pat. No. 5,468,508].

Chen et al teach the above mentioned components. Chen et al do not specifically recite a transparent container. Wu et al teach mango juice in a glass bottle (column 9, line 28; column 4, line 64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the glass bottle of Wu et al into the invention of Chen et al since both are directed to mango juice beverages, since Chen et al already included packaging (column 13, line 58), and since mango juice was commonly bottled in glass packages, as shown by Wu et al.

Claim in is hydred und Response to Arguments () **

8. Applicant's arguments filed January 5, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Chen et al did not teach a turbidity of at least 2000 NTU. However, Chen et al teach that the juice provided natural color and flavor (column 9, lines 29-41) and that the pore size of the filter be sufficiently large for soluble color directed to manop three peverages. See that the pore size of the filter be sufficiently large for soluble color directed to manop three peverages. See that the pore size of the filter be sufficiently large for soluble color directed to manop three peverages. See that the pore size of the filter be sufficiently large for soluble color directed to manop three peverages.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,657

不能要了你们。我们说透透。

Art Unit: 1761

components to go through (column 8, line 1). Although, the term "turbidity" is not used, the product of Chen et al inherently possessed a turbidity of at least 2000 NTU absent any clear evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Advanced is remideled of the extragion of time

extension feet number to 37 CFR 1.176(a) v. II to a considered from the conditing date of

the advisory of the month of the first of the conditions and the control for the expire later

William St. A. M. M. Co.

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 16/075,057 200 Unit 4791.

Palent Application information Home of growing distant. Status monife published applications may be epitimou from entric Private PAIR cerulary Examina

Status information for unpublished agreement to a validate (undule Priva 29%)

you have quostions on access to the missile Francisystem, contactable Electronic Business Center (EEC) at 866-217-2197 (tall-tras).